

EVALUATING CHALLENGES TO IMPLEMENTATION OF INDUSTRY 4.0 USING ANALYTICAL HIERARCHICAL PROCESS (AHP) AND INTERPRETIVE STRUCTURAL MODELING (ISM)

Ms. Bhavika Jhamnani

Assistant Professor, IPE Dep, S.G.S.I.T.S, Indore

Dr. A. Dalpati

HEAD, IPE Dept. S.G.S.I.T.S, Indore

Ms. Neha Patkar

Assistant Professor, S.G.S.I.T.S, Indore

Abstract: This analysis seeks to recognize primary barriers to Industry 4.0 strategies and evaluate them in order to prioritize them for successful Industry 4.0 implementation using Analytical Hierarchical Process (AHP) and Interpretive Structural Modeling (ISM). Industry 4.0 plays an important role for supply chain sustainability in developing markets, as seen from the lens of the Indian manufacturing industry. The following study will help practitioners, policymakers, regulatory bodies, and managers gain a better perspective of Industry - 4.0 implementation and eliminate the obstacles that may arise when implementing them for supply chain sustainability.

Keywords: Industry 4.0, Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM), Internet of Things (IoT), Interpretive Structural, Modeling (ISM), Analytical Hierarchical Process (AHP).

I. Introduction

Industry- 4.0 is the most trending concept in industries [19].

Recently, industries are willing to implement sustainability concepts in its industrial facilities [39] [18] [36].

But on the other side, industrial facilities are struggling to balance the dynamic demands of customers and market and in maintaining a sustainable development in working (Stock and Seliger, 2016). For developing a creative business module, organizations are implementing latest techniques like 3D printing, Internet of Things (IoT), Data Analytics, Industry 4.0 [2]. Which is significantly helping in changing the flow of value chain [68].

Industry- 4.0 with respect to sustainability surrounded developments help organizations to implement ecological safeguarding and control methods and to amalgamate method security , for example resource efficacy, employee and social benefit, latest and flexible process measures along it's value chains.

The development of 4th industrial revolution has helped in humongous industrial development, but it has also hampered the sustainability of recent production amendments [19] [32]. Which shall also lead to earthly imbalances w.r.t. greater resource utilization, global warming, climatic issues, and greater energy demands. Along with this, faster industrialization also leads to depleted health issues and security of workers. With this reference, production manufacturing chains are required to be in synchronization with ecologically, socially, and financially in amending latest methodologies. The highest number of research in Industry- 4.0 took into consideration the production facilities in context and did not bother about the supply chain system.

Industry- 4.0 dynamics can convert any manufacturing environment or value chain to a developed production facility mainly relied on cyber physical network of interconnected elements. Which permits trading processes and activities to amalgamate and allow production firms to be more malleable, cost effective, and environmentally concerned [69].

From the point of view of an organizational value chain, Industry- 4.0 includes various exceptions such as information efficiency and reliability, unemployment, dynamic behavior issues, less human activities, and more environmental repercussions.

II. Industry 4.0

If we consider the recent developing years, production facilities and systems have been majorly drawn towards the introduction of the Internet of Things (IoT) and Cyber Physical Network System Concepts [71]. The 4th industrial revolution has attracted immeasurable research along the people all over the world [32].

Through the implementation of new communication and information technology and the convergence of industrial development, data networks, and latest manufacturing developments such as intelligent processing, human-computer interaction, 3D printing, and automated operations, the fourth revolution lays the foundations for Industry 4.0. [5] [26]

Industry- 4.0, also known as "smart manufacturing" or "integrated industry," contains the potential to affect the complete sector regarding product design, development, and execution, among other aspects [20]. It also defines more accurate methods to control the manufacturing systems in comparison with traditional systems.

Industry- 4.0 is the extended process in an elaborated methodology of development, a complete modern skill set relied on the implementation of Cyber- Physical Systems (CPS) [16]. Indeed, the development of the IoT and Big Data has resulted in the formation of Industry- 4.0 as a result of the ongoing development.

As an initial understanding, we can elaborate Industry 4.0 as below:

- Systems and services may be linked in a range of ways, such as through the internet or other networking technologies like block chain technology.
- Without human intervention, digital setups enable self-controlled and self-enhanced build up of products as well as services, along with logistics (self-performing manufacturing systems based on transparency and predictive power). Decentralised control is inculcated to manage and control value chain networks, while systematic elements (such as manufacturing plants or transportation vehicles) make auto-controlled actions [20].

III. SUSTAINABLE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT (SSCM)

Accordingly, SSC Management (SSCM), it is “the management of material, information and capital flows as well as cooperation among companies along the supply chain while taking goals from all three dimensions of sustainable development, i.e., economic, environmental and social, into account which are derived from customer and stakeholder requirements” [60].

Sustainable Supply Chain Management (SSCM) is implemented in industries, based on the Triple Bottom Line (TBL) [34] [60][7] [59].

The three divisions of the Triple Bottom Line (TBL) are Environment, Social, and Economic. The below is a list of the three branches: A Structure for Sustainable Supply Chain Management

Environment: It tackles topics such as natural resource degradation, ozone layer loss, and conformity with standards, among others.

Society: applies to work diversity (child labour, slave labour, etc.), equal opportunity, civil rights, and so on.

Economics: is concerned with consistency, monetary progress, risk control, and return on investment (ROI), among other aspects.

IV. Challenges to Implementation of Industry 4.0 for Supply Chain Sustainability

This study evaluates the 20 key challenges to implementation of Industry 4.0 for Supply Chain Sustainability and further these challenges are prioritised with the use of two mathematical models that are Analytical Hierarchical Process (AHP) and Interpretive Structure Modelling (ISM).

Table 1: 20 Key Challenges to Implementation of Industry 4.0

BARRIERS	CONCEPT
<p>Lack of governmental policy and support.</p> <p>BRICS Business Council, 2017</p>	<p>In India, there are clearly no specific government guidelines or guidance on industry 4.0. Governments are still uncertain about the potential implications of Industry 4.0.</p>

Poor research & development on Industry 4.0 adoption. [19] [52]	Corporate organisations are having difficulties implementing Industry 4.0 due to a lack of accurate decision-making processes during this business transition.
Unclear economic benefit of digital investment. [27] [41]	One of the main challenges to Industry 4.0 strategies for achieving supply chain efficiency is the lack of a well identified return on investment.
Inadequate management support and dedication. [17] [61] [64]	For Industry- 4.0-driven sustainable growth, organisations can concentrate on developing their skills in terms of workforce preparation and development, as well as information management systems.
Lack of digital culture. [49] [53]	Industry- 4.0 is multi disciplinary in nature, necessitating the use of digitization to link various subjects of a network cluster.
Financial constraints. [9] [45] [67]	Financial limitations are seen as a significant obstacle to market enterprises improving their skills in consideration to specialised tools and devices, services, or viable methods advances in Industry 4.0.
Absence of Inter and Intra Firm Connection. [27]	The technological transformation and modernisation of production facilities, as well as the harmonisation of mechanical, electrical, wireless, and connected parts, are all needed for intra-firm connectivity.
Non-Standardized Communication Protocols. [27]	Representatives from the organization are worried about the introduction of immature technology, which may threaten usable product protection and process efficiency, resulting in output downtimes.
Incompetent Business Models. [11] [30] [47]	To succeed internationally, the new industrial structure necessitates a highly customized and scalable climate. Industries must adapt modern business models in this regard.
Low Understandability. [2] [20]	For a precise concept of Industry 4.0, the literature explicitly calls for strongly organised and oriented analysis.

<p>Inadequate Training Methods. [12] [49]</p>	<p>To embrace digitization in the manufacturing context, workers must be educated in the fundamental processes, their interdependencies, and data analysis.</p>
<p>Long Term Employee Loyalty. [27]</p>	<p>The transformational process necessitates the establishment of a flexible business culture guided by the desire to follow a new manufacturing model.</p>
<p>Legal Issues. [42] [58]</p>	<p>Industry- 4.0 seeks to create a network that links different computers, sensors, services, and people to the cloud and shares data. Several complicated legal issues can occur as a result of this cyber-physical network.</p>
<p>Security issues. [46] [62] [70]</p>	<p>Supply chain networks have underlying security flaws that attackers take advantage of. Also, the security flaws begin with the provider, who is prone to cyber scams and the theft of sensitive passwords, exposing a large amount of data.</p>
<p>Poor coordination and collaboration. [9] [67] [45]</p>	<p>With greater compatibility problems of hardware and software, which includes standard interfaces, and data synchronization to achieve better synchronization with vendors, teamwork and cooperation with suppliers is required for improved communication setups.</p>
<p>Lack of Customer Supplier Involvement. [27]</p>	<p>It involves not only main stakeholders, but also consumers and vendors, who must be more closely engaged in the value creating process. In this sense, supplier management is particularly relevant.</p>
<p>Incompetent global standards and data sharing protocols.[8]</p>	<p>In order to succeed, industries must adhere to global norms and data exchange protocols.</p>
<p>Poor existing data quality. [57]</p>	<p>One of the most important requirements for effective Industry- 4.0 implementation is data accuracy. Several computers, cameras, production processes, and services are integrated in Industry- 4.0, culminating in big data.</p>

<p>Insufficient infrastructure and internet-based networks.</p> <p>[6] [31] [47]</p>	<p>In the context of India, internet-based innovations are not equally recognised in urban and rural areas, which can stymie long-term business development.</p>
<p>Incompatible technological platforms.</p> <p>[73]</p>	<p>Technology convergence is critical for improved collaboration and increased efficiency. Industries are struggling to provide a scalable interface that can integrate a variety of heterogeneous components.</p>

V. Methodology

5.1 Analytical Hierarchical Process (AHP)

AHP was first proposed by Saaty [54].

AHP is a popular multiple criteria decision-making technique that combines qualitative with quantitative criteria [50].

It ranks the potential suppliers in a hierarchical system [13] [66]. Moreover, it is a group decision making technique that helps decision makers find the best criteria for reaching the goal.

AHP is better than other multi-criteria techniques because it is designed to work with tangible as well as non-tangible criteria, especially if subjective judgements of different experts contribute an important part of decision making [10] [55] [56].

To prioritise the criteria and their sub-criteria which are already identified through an extensive literature review and all supportive literatures have been put in Table 1. After the development of the model, we break our objective in the hierarchy decision-making process (Viswanadhan, 2005).

To collect the data a pairwise comparison questionnaire has been developed. Experts from industry and academics have been selected on the basis of their experience and research work and data have been collected through personal interview. The data have been collected and synthesised in Microsoft Excel and then analysed.

5.2 Interpretive Structural Modelling (ISM)

ISM was first proposed by J. Warfield in 1973 to analyze the complex social and economic systems. It is a computer-based learning process that enables individuals or groups to develop a roadmap to unravel the complex relations between the criteria involved in complex situations. The basic idea is to use practical experience and knowledge of experts to solve a complicated system with several subsystem elements and construct a multilevel structural model. Essentially, the method involves taking a set criterion.

comparing them in a defined binary relation and constructing a reachability matrix from the comparison. ISM is interpreted based on the group's judgment and decision whether and how

the system's elements are linked [1]. It is structured and designed on the foundation of the relationship and the final structure is exploited from a complex set of system variables. It is also a model as the final relationship is illustrated in a directed graph model.

Table 2: Classification of Criteria and Sub- Criteria

CRITERIA	SUB CRITERIA
STRATEGY	LackofGovernment Support &Policies
	Poor R&D onImplementation of Industry 4.0
	UnclearEconomic Benefits
OPERATIONS	InadequateManagementSupport
	LackofDigital Culture
	FinancialConstraints
ORGANISATI ONAL AND PRODUCTION FIT	AbsenceofIntrsandInterFirmConnection
	Non-Standardised Communication Protocols
EMPLOYEE QUALIFICATI ON AND ACCEPTANCE	Incompetent Business Models
	InadequateTrainingMethods
	Low Understandability
	Long Term Employee Loyalty
	IncompetentGlobalStandardsandSharing Protocols
	PoorExistingDataQuality

TECHNOLOGICAL IMPOSITION	InsufficientInfrastructure andInternet basedNetworks
	IncompetentTechnological Platform
IMPLEMENTATION	Legal Issues
	PoorCoordination andCollaboration
	SecurityIssues
	LackofCustomerSupplierInvolvement

VI. Results

Evaluating the above 20 key challenges with the use of 2 different methods namely Analytical Hierarchical Process (AHP) and Interpretive Structural Modeling (ISM) helped us to prioritise them in two different ways and according to two different set of survey and analysis.

Table 3: Prioritization of the sub criteria after applying AHP

SUB CRITERIA	LOCAL RANK	GLOBAL RANK
Lack of Government Support & Policies	3	18
Poor R&D on Implementation of Industry 4.0	2	15
Unclear Economic Benefits	1	13
Inadequate Management Support	3	19
Lack of Digital Culture	2	14

Financial Constraints	1	12
Absence of Intra and Inter Firm Connection	1	1
Non-Standardized Communication Protocols	2	10
Incompetent Business Models	3	7
Inadequate Training Methods	1	3
Low Understandability	2	5
Long Term Employee Loyalty	4	8
Incompetent Global Standards and Sharing Protocols	4	20
Poor Existing Data Quality	3	17
Insufficient Infrastructure and Internet based Networks	2	16
Incompetent Technological Platform	1	11
Legal Issues	4	9
Poor Coordination and Collaboration	3	6
Security Issues	1	2
Lack of Customer Supplier Involvement	2	4

Table4: Prioritization of the criterion after applying AHP

CRITERIA	RANK
STRATEGY	6
OPERATIONS	5

ORGANIZATIONAL AND PRODUCTION FIT	4
EMPLOYEE QUALIFICATION AND ACCEPTANCE	2
TECHNOLOGICAL IMPOSITION	3
IMPLEMENTATION	1

While implementing **Analytical Hierarchical Process (AHP)** we concluded that amongst the major criterion as shown in in Table.4 which were analysed **Implementation** is at the top priority of the major challenges that are to be primarily analysed and worked upon.

And from the sub criteria challenges as shown in Table.3 the most effective one which needed to be eliminated initially is **Absence of Intra and Inter Firm**

Connection.

Working upon them according to the ranks obtained will further help in the successful implementation of Industry 4.0 in consideration with maintaining Supply Chain Sustainability.

Whereas with the help of Interpretive **Structural Modeling (ISM)** we were able to identify the interdependence of all the sub criterion shown in Fig. 1 and the most influential one's were **LegalIssues and SecurityIssues.**

Therefore, an industry needs to initially start with work on the following two and afterwards proceed according to the digraph obtained by ISM for the successful implementation of Industry 4.0.

VII. Conclusion


The 20 Key Challenges to Industry 4.0 Initiatives for Supply Chain Sustainability identified in the above study are based on the latest trends in the industrial globe.

These challenges play an important role in identifying the problems faced by large as well as medium scale enterprises w.r.t the implementation of latest developments related to Industry 4.0.

With the help of Analytical Hierarchical Process (AHP) we are able to prioritise the challenges and find the most influential to the implementation of industry 4.0.

And with the help of Interpretive Structure Modeling (ISM) we are able to identify the interdependence of the challenges upon each other and the priority of their elimination in the most effective way.

Fig 1: Digraph showing inter-relationship between the sub-criterion obtained using ISM technique.



References

- [1] Ahuja V, Yang, J and Shankar, R. (2009), Benefits of Collaborative ICT Adoption for Building Project Management, *Construction Innovation*, Vol. 9, No. 3, p 323-340.
- [2] Almada-Lobo, F. (2016). *The industry 4.0 revolution and the future of manufacturing execution systems (MES)*. *Journal of Innovation Management*, 3(4), 16-21.
- [3] Arnold, C.; Kiel, D.; Voigt, K.-I. *How the Industrial Internet of Things Changes Business Models in Different Manufacturing Industries*. *Int. J. Innov. Manag.* 2016, 20, 1640015.
- [4] Attri R., Grover S., Dev N. and Kumar D., *An ISM approach for modelling the enablers in the implementation of Total Productive Maintenance (TPM)*, *International Journal System Assurance Engineering and Management*, DOI: 10.1007/s13198-012-0088-7 (2012).
- [5] Basl, J. (2017). *Pilot study of readiness of Czech companies to implement the principles of Industry 4.0*. *Management and Production Engineering Review*, 8(2), 3-8.
- [6] Bedekar, A. (2017). Opportunities & challenges for IoT in India.
- [7] Beske, P. and Seuring, S. (2014), "Putting sustainability into supply chain management", *Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 19 No. 3, pp. 322-331.

- [8] Branke, J., Farid, S. S. and Shah, N. (2016). Industry 4.0: A vision for personalized medicine supply chains? *Cell and Gene Therapy Insights*, 2(2), 263-270
- [9] Dawson, T. (2014). Industry 4.0 – Opportunities and challenges for smart manufacturing.
- [10] D. Dalalah et al. / *Expert Systems with Applications* 38 (2011) 8384–8391
- [11] Duarte, S. and Cruz-Machado, V. (2017, July). *Exploring linkages between lean and green supply chain and the industry 4.0*. In International Conference on Management Science and Engineering Management (pp. 1242-1252). Springer, Cham.
- [12] Erol, S., Schumacher, A. and Sihm, W. (2016). Strategic guidance towards Industry 4.0—A three-stage process model. In International Conference on Competitive Manufacturing.
- [13] Faisal M N, Banwet D K, Shankar R et al (2006) Mapping supply chains on risk and customer sensitivity dimensions. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 106:878–895
- [14] Gandhi, S., Mangla, S. K., Kumar, P. and Kumar, D. (2016). *A combined approach using AHP and DEMATEL for evaluating success factors in implementation of green supply chain management in Indian manufacturing industries*. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 19(6), 537-561.
- [15] Gilchrist, A. (2016). *Introducing Industry 4.0*. (pp. 195-215).
- [16] Grieco A., Caricato P., Gianfreda D., Pesce M., Rigon V., Tregnaghi L., Voglino A. *An Industry 4.0 case study in fashion manufacturing* *Procedia Manufacturing*, 11 (2017), pp. 871-877
- [17] Gökalp, E., Şener, U. and Eren, P. E. (2017, October). *Development of an assessment model for Industry 4.0: Industry 4.0-MM*. In International Conference on Software Process Improvement and Capability Determination (pp. 128- 142). Springer, Cham.
- [18] Govindan, K., Seuring, S., Zhu, Q., & Azevedo, S. G. (2016). *Accelerating the transition towards sustainability dynamics into supply chain relationship management and governance structures*. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 112, 1813-1823.
- [19] Hermann, M.; Pentek, T.; Otto, B. *Design principles for industrie 4.0 scenarios*. In Proceedings of the 49th Hawaii International Conference on IEEE System Sciences (HICSS), Koloa, HI, USA, 5–8 January 2016.
- [20] Hofmann, E.; Rüsçh, M. *Industry 4.0 and the current status as well as future prospects on logistics*. *Computer Ind.* 2017, 89, 23–34.
- [21] Hu, A. H. and Hsu, C. W. (2010). *Critical factors for implementing green supply chain management practice: an empirical study of electrical and electronics industries in Taiwan*. *Management Research Review*, 33(6), 586-608.

- [22] Jeschke, S., Brecher, C., Meisen, T., Özdemir, D. and Eschert, T. (2017). *Industrial internet of things and cyber manufacturing systems*. In *Industrial Internet of Things* (pp. 3-19). Springer International Publishing.
- [23] Jharkharia S. and Shankar R., *IT- Enablement of supply chains: Understanding the barriers*, Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 18(1), 11-27 (2005)
- [24] Kangermann, H, W Wahlster and J Helbig (2013). *Recommendations for Implementing the Strategic Initiative Industrie 4.0* — Final Report of the Industrie 4.0 Working Group.
- [25] Kagermann, H. (2015). *Change through digitization—Value creation in the age of Industry 4.0*. In *Management of permanent change* (pp. 23-45). Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden.
- [26] Khan, M., Wu, X., Xu, X. and Dou, W. (2017, May). *Big data challenges and opportunities in the hype of Industry 4.0*.
- [27] Kiel, D., Müller, J., Arnold, C. and Voigt, K. I. (2017, June). *Sustainable industrial value creation: Benefits and challenges of Industry 4.0*. In *ISPIM Innovation Symposium* (p. 1). The International Society for Professional.
- [28] Kausar et al. *Key enablers to implement sustainable supply chain management practices: An Indian insight*. Journal of Uncertain Supply Chain Management 5 (2017) pp. 89 – 104.
- [29] K Viswanadhan - *How to get responses for multi-criteria decisions in engineering education—an AHP based approach for selection of measuring instrument*. Financial Support, 2005.
- [30] Lee, J., Kao, H. A. and Yang, S. (2014). *Service innovation and smart analytics for industry 4.0 and big data*.
- [31] Leitão, P., Colombo, A. W. and Karnouskos, S. (2016). *Industrial automation based on cyber-physical systems*.
- [32] Liao, Y., Deschamps, F., Loures, E. D. F. R. and Ramos, L. F. P. (2017). *Past, present, and future of Industry 4.0-A systematic literature review and research agenda proposal*. International Journal of Production Research, 55(12),3609-3629.
- [33] Lin, K.C.; Shyu, J.Z.; Ding, K. *A Cross-Strait Comparison of Innovation Policy under Industry 4.0 and Sustainability Development Transition*. Sustainability 2017, 9, 786.
- [34] Linton et. al. *Sustainable Supply Chains: An Introduction*. Journal of Operations Management. Vol. 22, Issue 6, p. 1075-1082. Wiley Online Library
- [35] Luthra, S., Garg, D. and Haleem, A. (2016a). *The impacts of critical success factors for implementing green supply chain management towards sustainability: An empirical investigation of Indian automobile industry*. Journal of Cleaner Production, 121, 142-158.
- [36] Luthra, S., Govindan, K., Kannan, D., Mangla, S. K. and Garg, C. P. (2017). *An integrated framework for sustainable supplier selection and evaluation in supply chains*. Journal of Cleaner Production, 140, 1686-1698.

- [37] Luthra, S., Mangla, S. K., Xu, L. and Diabat, A. (2016b). *Using AHP to evaluate barriers in adopting sustainable consumption and production initiatives in a supply chain*. International Journal of Production Economics, 181, 342-349.
- [38] Malhotra, M. K. and Grover, V. (1998). *An assessment of survey research in POM: from constructs to theory*. Journal of Operations Management, 16(4), 407-425.
- [39] Mangla, S.K., Kumar, P., and Barua, M.K. (2015). *Risk analysis in green supply chain using fuzzy AHP approach: A case study*. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 104, 375-390.
- [40] Mangla, S. K., Luthra, S., Jakhar, S., Tyagi, M., and Narkhede, B. E. (2017). *Benchmarking the logistics management implementation using Delphi and fuzzy DEMATEL*. Benchmarking: An International Journal (In Press).
- [41] Marques, M., Agostinho, C., Zacharewicz, G. and Jardim-Gonçalves, R. (2017). *Decentralized decision support for intelligent manufacturing in Industry 4.0*. Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Smart Environments, 9(3), 299- 313.
- [42] Müller, J., Dotzauer, V. and Voigt, K. I. (2017a). *Industry 4.0 and its impact on reshoring decisions of German manufacturing enterprises*. In *Supply Management Research* (pp. 165-179). Springer Gabler, Wiesbaden.
- [43] Müller, J.M.; Kiel, D.; Voigt, K.-I. *What Drives the Implementation of Industry 4.0? The Role of Opportunities and Challenges in the Context of Sustainability*. Sustainability 2018, 10, 247.
- [44] Müller, J. M., Maier, L., Veile, J. and Voigt, K. I. (2017b). *Cooperation strategies among SMEs for implementing industry 4.0*. *Proceedings of the Hamburg International Conference of Logistics (HICL) – 23* In Kersten, W. et al. (Eds.) Digitalization in Supply Chain Management and Logistics, October 2017, Epubli, pp. 301-318.
- [45] Nicoletti, B. (2018). *The Future: Procurement 4.0*. In *Agile Procurement* (pp. 189-230). Palgrave Macmillan, Cham.
- Nunnally, J. (1978). *Psychometric methods*. McGraw Hill, New York.
- [46] Pereira, A.; Romero, F. *A review of the meanings and the implications of the industry 4.0 concept*. Procedia Manuf. 2017, 13, 1206–1214.
- [47] Pfohl, H. C., Yahsi, B. and Kurnaz, T. (2017). *Concept and diffusion-factors of Industry 4.0 in the supply chain*. In *Dynamics in Logistics* (pp. 381-390). Springer International Publishing.
- [48] Raj T., Shankar R. and Suhaib M., *An ISM approach for modeling the enablers of flexible manufacturing system: The case for India*, International Journal of Production Research, 46(24), 1-30 (2007)

- [49] Ras, E., Wild, F., Stahl, C. and Baudet, A. (2017, June). *Bridging the skills gap of workers in Industry 4.0 by human performance augmentation tools: Challenges and roadmap*. In Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Pervasive Technologies Related to Assistive Environments (pp. 428-432). ACM.
- [50] Ravi, V. and Shankar, R. (2017), "An ISM-based approach analyzing interactions among variables of reverse logistics in automobile industries", *Journal of Modelling in Management*, Vol. 12 No. 1, pp. 36-52.
- [51] Ravikumar, M.M., Marimuthu, K. and Parthiban, P. (2015) 'Evaluating lean implementation performance in Indian MSMEs using ISM and AHP models', *Int. J. Services and Operations Management*, Vol. 22, No. 1, pp.21–39.
- [52] Schmidt, R., Möhring, M., Härting, R. C., Reichstein, C., Neumaier, P., and Jozinović, P. (2015, June). *Industry 4.0-Potentials for creating smart products: Empirical research results*. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Business Information Systems, 16-27. Springer, Cham.
- [53] Schuh, G., Anderl, R., Gausemeier, J., ten Hompel, M. and Wahlster, W. (2017). *Industrie 4.0 maturity index. Managing the Digital Transformation of Companies*. Munich: Herbert Utz.
- [54] Saaty T.L. *Multi Criteria Decision Making: The Analytical Hierarchy Process*, 1988; Revised and published by author; Original version published by McGraw Hill, New York, 1980.
- [55] Saaty, T.L. and Vargas, L.G. (1991) *Prediction, Projection and Forecasting*, Boston: Kluwer Academic.
- [56] Saaty, T.L. and Vargas, L.G. (2000) *Models, Methods, Concepts and Applications of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- [57] Santos, M. Y., e Sá, J. O., Costa, C., Galvão, J., Andrade, C., Martinho, B., ... & Costa, E. (2017, April). *A big data analytics architecture for Industry 4.0*. In World Conference on Information Systems and Technologies (pp. 175- 184). Springer, Cham.
- [58] Schröder, C. (2016). *The challenges of industry 4.0 for small and medium-sized enterprises*. A PROJECT BY THEFRIEDRICH-EBERT-STIFTUNG2015–2017.
- [59] Seuring, S.(2011): *A review of modeling approaches for sustainable supply chain management*. *Decis. Support Syst.* 54(4), 1513-1520
- [60] Seuring, S. and Müller, M. (2008) *From a Literature Review to a Conceptual Framework for Sustainable Supply Chain Management*. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16, 1699-1710.
- [61] Shamim, S., Cang, S., Yu, H. and Li, Y. (2017). *Examining the feasibilities of Industry 4.0 for the hospitality sector with the lens of management practice*. *Energies*, 10(4), 499.

- [62] Sommer, L. (2015). *Industrial revolution-industry 4.0: Are German manufacturing SMEs the first victims of this revolution?* Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management, 8(5), 1512-1532.
- [63] Stock, T.; Seliger, G. *Opportunities of sustainable manufacturing in industry 4.0.* Procedia CIRP 2016, 40, 536–541.
- [64] Savtschenko, M., Schulte, F. and Voß, S. (2017, July). *IT governance for cyber-physical systems: The case of Industry 4.0.* In International Conference of Design, User Experience, and Usability (pp. 667-676). Springer, Cham.
- [65] Thakkar J., Deshmukh S.G., Gupta A.D. and Shankar R., *Development of Score card: An integrated approach of ISM and ANP*, International Journal of Production and Performance Management, 56(1), 25-59 (2007)
- [66] Thakkar J., Kanda A., Deshmukh S.G., *Evaluation of buyer- supplier relationships using an integrated mathematical approach of interpretive structural modeling (ISM) and graph theoretic approach*, Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, 19(1), 92-124 (2008).
- [67] Theorin, A., Bengtsson, K., Provost, J., Lieder, M., Johnsson, C., Lundholm, T. and Lennartson, B. (2017). *An event- driven manufacturing information system architecture for Industry 4.0.* International Journal of Production Research, 55(5), 1297-1311.
- [68] Tjahjono, B., Esplugues, C., Ares, E., & Pelaez, G. (2017). *What does Industry 4.0 mean to Supply Chain?* Procedia Manufacturing, 13, 1175-1182.
- [69] Wang, S.; Wan, J.; Li, D.; Zhang, C. *Implementing smart factory of industrie 4.0: An outlook.* Int. J. Distrib. Sens. Netw. 2016, 12, 3159805.
- [70] Wang, G.; Gunasekaran, A.; Ngai, E.W.; Papadopoulos, T. *Big data analytics in logistics and supply chain management: Certain investigations for research and applications.* Int. J. Prod. Econ. 2016, 176, 98–110.
- [71] Wollschlaeger, M., Sauter, T. and Jasperneite, J. (2017). *The future of industrial communication: Automation networks in the era of the internet of things and industry 4.0.* IEEE Industrial Electronics Magazine, 11(1), 17-27.
- [72] Zhong, R.Y.; Huang, G.Q.; Lan, S.; Dai, Q.; Chen, X.; Zhang, T. *A big data approach for logistics trajectory discovery from RFID-enabled production data.* Int. J. Prod. Econ. 2015, 165, 260–272.
- [73] Zhou, K., Liu, T. and Zhou, L. (2015, August). *Industry 4.0: Towards future industrial opportunities and challenges.* In 12th IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery (FSKD), 2015, pp. 2147-2152,